

Analysis of instantaneous surface settlement of tunnel construction in composite stratum based on semi-analytical method

Guanfeng Tian ^{1, a}, Jing Wu ^{1, b}, Xinmeng Yu ^{1, c}

¹ School of Environment and Civil Engineering, Dongguan University of Technology, Dongguan 523808, China.

^a tiangf126@126.com, ^b 2017045@dgut.edu.cn, ^c xinmengyu@outlook.com

Abstract. It is important for shield tunneling safety to predict and control the instantaneous surface settlement. Particularly, the complex geological condition can make accurate prediction more difficult. To adapt to the stratum distribution, a semi-analytical method is established by introducing the finite layer method into tunnel mechanical analysis. Firstly, based on the elastic solution of the finite layer method, the horizontal flexibility coefficient of tunneling and the surface settlement are obtained by applying effective thrust to the excavation face. Secondly, two influencing factors are analyzed, including the penetration of shield tunneling and the Poisson ratio of geotechnical parameter. The relationship formula between the measured value and the theoretical value of the maximum settlement is derived through data fitting. Finally, the settlement prediction method of tunneling is proved to be practical, which provide a new ideal for the mechanical analysis of tunnels in composite strata.

Keywords: Composite stratum; semi-analytical method; instantaneous surface settlement; penetration; poisson ratio.

1. Introduction

With the improvement of science and technology, urban underground space has been more developed, and the subway becomes more convenient. As a widely used technology for subway construction, shield tunneling changes the original stress field of the stratum and causes surface settlement. Especially in the composite geological environment, the settlement change caused by tunneling will increase greatly, which will endanger aboveground buildings and underground workers. Therefore, it is necessary to predict the settlement effectively before tunneling to ensure the smooth progress of tunnel construction.

Engineering practice shows that surface settlement caused by tunneling is a result of multiple factors, including the geological and hydrological environment, the stratum properties, and the shield tunneling parameters, with the complex correlation among them. The theoretical methods of settlement prediction have been continuously developed in the past 50 years. The empirical formula method is commonly used at the initial stage. Peck formula is widely used [1], which could be used to better describe the settlement trough of a single tunnel in simple stratum. Later, it is applied to the settlement calculation of double tunnel [2, 3]. The maximum surface settlement of tunnels with different burial depths and the change rule of its influencing factors were studied through the inversion analysis method [4]. Until now, it has been continuously revised and used by follow-up researchers according to new conditions. In addition, the size and range of surface settlement value of shield tunnel were estimated with empirical methods based on normal distribution function [5]. However, it is difficult to determine the parameters of the empirical formula for the complicated geological conditions and changeable tunneling parameters with an insufficient physical theoretical foundation. Therefore, it is limited in promotion and application.

The analytical method of surface settlement caused by tunnel excavation, which has a more rigorous theoretical foundation. Many research achievements were based on the homogeneous elastic half-space foundation models [6, 7]. The elastic parameters, the soil loss rate [8], or the non-uniform deformation of circular tunnel [9] were taken into consideration. The settlement

prediction formula [10] for curved tunnels is derived from the image theory and Mindlin solution. However, for complex heterogeneous stratum problems, it is difficult to obtain a complete solution using the analytical method. In addition, shield construction factors have not been considered in these studies.

With the development of computer technology and algorithm science numerical analysis methods, finite element methods for example, are increasingly applied to calculate the settlement caused by tunneling [11]. The geometric feature of tunnels, geotechnical properties and tunneling parameters are fully considered in the numerical model. It shows a strong advantage of universality in the numerical methods. The finite element method can be used to simulate the creep and seepage of soil and analyze the surface settlement of the tunnel [12]. The settlement caused by the tunnel crossing the interface of the aquifer mixed foundation is studied [13] by establishing a three-dimensional fluid-solid coupling finite element model. The secondary development of finite element software can also be carried out. The regular pattern of frost heaving and thaw settlement of the ground is simulated with high prediction accuracy [14]. However, because the number of parameters and elements for finite element analysis of tunnel space is huge, only a more actual simulation for the coupling treatment of soil and tunnel structure interface can ensure the calculation results be reliable. Otherwise, the deviation of settlement estimated by the finite element method will be large [15].

In recent years, new methods such as machine learning and depth learning algorithm have been introduced into the settlement research of tunnel construction with the rapid development of artificial intelligence. The artificial neural network is initially used to predict the maximum surface settlement caused by tunneling [16]. The feasibility of this method is demonstrated by using the ANN [17] to connect the tunneling parameters and geotechnical parameters with surface settlement [18,19] and applying it to practical engineering projects. There are many optimization algorithm such as multilayer back-propagation neural networks ANN [20, 21] optimized based on genetic algorithms [22, 23], and ANN models optimized based on an imperialist competitive algorithm [24], the radial basis function neural network, and the general regression neural network [25]. The back propagation neural network based on time series [26] is applied to the prediction of surface settlement, which provided meaningful guidance for the settlement control. Later, support vector machine (SVM) [27] random forest [28] decision tree [29], and integrated framework learning algorithm [30] were applied to the predict the tunnel excavation settlement. Comparing and studying the applicability and reliability of the prediction methods based on artificial intelligence shows that different data sets suit different prediction methods. The advantage of an artificial intelligence algorithm is that it can analyze the nonlinear relationship between the known high-dimensional parameters and the predicted settlement. The sufficient source data set used to train the calculation models allows the more accurate model prediction results. Therefore, computing performance depends heavily on the authenticity and reliability of a large number of source data.

In conclusion, the maximum surface settlement of a shield-driven tunnel is a key factor that provides early warning for shield construction safety. Every research method has its own characteristics and scope of application, with advantages and limitations respectively. Composite stratum is common in the surrounding rock of subway tunnels in Guangdong, China, especially the mixed layer of the soft soil and hard rock. Therefore, in this paper, the surface settlement prediction method of shield tunneling in composite strata is studied based on the Guangzhou Metro Project. First of all, the elastic finite layer method is used to analyze the composite strata. Such semi-analytical method combines the advantages of both analytical method and numerical method. It can not only simulate the contact relationship between stratum units, but also adapt to the diversified characteristics of rock and soil layers, which can solve the difficulty in analyzing composite surrounding rock. At the same time, the effective thrust is applied to the tunnel excavation face as an active load, and the finite layer method elastic solution of the ground with horizontal forces is used to analyze surface settlement at the moment of tunneling. Secondly, the

influencing factors of the differences between the measured value of surface settlement and the theoretical calculation value of the finite layer method are analyzed with consideration of the tunneling parameters and geotechnical parameters. Finally, the relation formula between the field-measured value and the theoretically calculated value of the maximum surface settlement is established by fitting the data of an engineering case, according to which the instantaneous surface settlement could be predicted.

2. The Finite Layer Method of Tunnel Stratum Analysis

2.1 Model of Tunnel Composite Stratum

The reliability of the mechanical analysis of shield tunneling is mainly dependent on the accuracy of the simulation analysis of the natural stratum. Especially the composite stratum, its geological condition with soft soil and hard rock alternate-layered may easily cause complex interaction between the stratum and the shield cutterhead at the tunnel excavation face. Under such circumstances, the theoretical analysis of surface settlement may be solved successfully if an analysis method can simulate the real properties of composite stratum, and then it is possible to work out the me-chemical characteristics of the stratigraphic space during shield tunneling. Usually, the finite layer method is used to settle the mechanical analysis of uneven ground [31,32]. Therefore, the finite layer model is applied to simulate the composite stratum, and the finite layer method is used to find out the relationship between the effective thrust and surface settlement when shield tunneling.

The finite layer method, a semi-analytical method, is suited for solving problems of layered ground better than other methods. Based on its basic principle, the analysis method for composite stratum is explored by applying different loads whose mechanical model is shown in Fig.1.

Semi-infinite layered tunnel space is simulated by adopting a sufficiently large area $a \times b \times H$, dividing into N layers by depth, and getting the elastic material parameters of each layer element i including the deformation modulus E_i and Poisson ratio μ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The loads from different directions like normal forces p_x, p_y, p_z and tangential forces p_t are imposed inside the area. In this way, the extended finite layer method [31] is possible to work out the stress field and displacement field of the composite stratum generated by various loads.




Fig.1 Mechanical model of composite stratum




Fig.2 Force diagram of shield tunneling

2.2 Load Model of Shield Tunneling

The stratum load transferred from the construction state of shield tunneling, as well as the ground weight, can be calculated by existing empirical formulas and soil mechanics formulas. All loads are converted into the equivalent load of the layer element where the loads are located.

The forces on the composite stratum of shield tunneling are shown in Fig.2. The excavation face of a circular shield with outer diameter D_e is simplified to an internal square face, which is tentatively taken as the equivalent acting face of the tunnel force section. The imposed load q_e at the tunnel excavation face is the effective thrust of the shield machine. Meanwhile, there are imposed loads including the shell support pressure q_f , the shell frictional resistance τ_f , and the lining support pressure q_s along the longitudinal direction of the tunnel, among which, the stress-strain state generated by the joint action of the three imposed loads is basically the same as the state before the excavation, in other words, there is not new imposed load on the surrounding rock outside the shield shell.

Therefore, after the simplification, the relationship between the effective thrust and surface settlement can be identified with the finite layer method only if the effective thrust within the equivalent acting face is applied at the excavation face. The distribution of surface settlement along the longitudinal direction of the tunnel will be mainly focused to study the correlation between the maximum surface settlement and the tunneling parameters and soil parameters of the composite stratum.

3. Overview of the Shield Tunnel Project

3.1 Overview

The project example is from the left line of the shield section between the Yuzhu and Xiangjingling of Guangzhou Metro Line 13, with a total length of 1,831 m. The tunneling is carried out by an earth pressure balance shield machine whose shield shell had an outer diameter D_e of 6.26 m.

3.2 Tunnel Stratigraphic Section

The strata crossed by the tunnel excavation face includes silt soft clay layer, plastic and hard plastic sandy clay layer, completely weathered migmatitic granite, strongly weathered migmatitic granite, moderately weathered migmatitic rock, migmatitic granite mixed with slightly weathered migmatitic rock, and migmatitic granite. The stratigraphic distribution changes are significant along the tunnel, the homogeneous stratigraphic section only accounted for 23% of the line while the double-layer or multi-layer composite stratigraphic section for 77%. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct the qualitative and quantitative analysis on the composite characteristics of the stratum.

To allow for the qualitative description of the different stratigraphic characteristics in the tunnel section, the excavation strata are divided 8 groups along the longitudinal direction of the line. The stratigraphic distribution of every group is illustrated in Fig.3, where the numbers ①, ②, and ③ denote the geological layer, and A_1 , A_2 , and A_3 are the distribution areas in corresponding layers. The physical mechanics parameters of the strata groups are shown in Table 1, where the weights of the weighted mean of the deformation modulus and Poisson ratio are on behalf of the area ratios within the tunnel excavation face. The layer thickness B_i corresponding to the equivalent quadrangular tunnel section can be calculated by the area ratio, and then the layer thickness h_i in Figure1 is obtained.

3.3 Soil Layers Covering on the Top of Tunnel Cavity

The depth of the tunnel H is 9 to 16 m. The soil layers covering on the top of the tunnel cavity consists of silt clay, soft soil layer mixed with partial mucky clay, plastic and hard plastic sandy clay layer with partial silt. The main stratum is the alternative marine and land facies sedimentary layers while the fourth system stratum has rich and shallow groundwater. The height of the ground-water level from the tunnel roof is 6.5 to 13 m.

3.4 Surface Settlement Monitoring

According to the shield tunneling experience, when the shield machine tunneled into a strata group Gt, the maxi-mum daily value of surface settlement w_{0r} is measured within 50 meters from the front to the rear of the excavation face along the tunnel line, which is used as the instantaneous surface settlement for tunneling in the strata group Gt. The data sets related to the above tunnel strata groups, soil parameters, tunneling parameters such as total thrust and penetration of shield construction, and surface settlement monitoring values are randomly divided into analysis data sets and test data sets according to the ratio of 4:1.

Table 1. Classification of Strata Groups and Its Physical Mechanics Parameters

Strata group number	Geometric feature	Area ratio of soil layer	Weighted mean of deformation modulus /MPa	Weighted mean of Poisson ratio
Gt-1	①Silt soft clay	1	20	0.42
Gt-2	①Silt soft clay ②Sandy clay layer	0.27:0.73	33	0.39
Gt-3	①Sandy clay layer	1	40	0.37
Gt-4	①Sandy clay layer ②Completely weathered migmatitic granite	0.56:0.44	63	0.34
Gt-5	①Completely weathered migmatitic granite	1	80	0.33
Gt-6	①Sandy clay layer ②Completely weathered migmatitic granite ③Strongly weathered migmatitic granite	0.07:0.72:0.21	82	0.32
Gt-7	①Completely weathered migmatitic granite ②Strongly weathered migmatitic granite	0.48:0.52	90	0.31
Gt-8	①Strongly weathered migmatitic granite ②Moderately weathered migmatitic rock ③Slightly weathered migmatitic rock	0.29:0.67:0.04	2098	0.26

4. Instantaneous surface settlement caused by shield tunneling

4.1 Theoretical Calculation of Surface Settlement by the Tunnel Finite Layer Method

4.1.1 Calculation of effective thrust of shield tunneling

Take Gt-3 as an example, the frictional resistance F_1 is calculated as

$$F_1 = \mu_1 \cdot \pi D_c L p \tag{1}$$

Where, μ_1 is the frictional coefficient between the stratum and the steel plate, the values of residual soil and completely weathered rock are 0.2, strongly to moderately weathered rocks 0.3 and

slightly weathered rock 0.35. The external diameter of the shield shell D_e is 6.26 m. The length of the shield shell L is 8.77 m. The average soil pressure p is 207.8 kPa. Therefore, $F_1 = 7165$ kN.

Frictional resistance F_2 is calculated as

$$F_2 = n_1 \cdot W_s \cdot \mu_2 \tag{2}$$

Where, the number of prefabricate segments in the shield tail, n_1 , is 2. The self weight of a single prefabricate segment $W_s = [\pi (D_{sc}^2 - D_{si}^2)/4]t_s \gamma_G$. The outer diameter of the prefabricate segments D_{sc} is set as 6.0 m, the inner diameter D_{si} as 5.4 m, the width t_s as 1.5 m and the weight γ_G as 24.5 kN/m³. The coefficient of frictional resistance between the prefabricate segments and the steel plate μ_2 is 0.3. Therefore, $F_2 = 119$ kN.

According to the source data of shield tunneling parameters, the average total thrust of the strata group Gt-3 under normal working condition is 15325 kN, thus the actual effective thrust is

$$F_e = 15325 - F_1 - F_2 = 8041 \text{ kN.} \tag{3}$$

The effective thrust corresponding to the total thrust can be calculated for each strata group by the same method.

4.1.2 Theoretical value of maximum instantaneous surface settlement

Based on the finite layer model of the tunnel strata, the effective thrust is converted to an equivalent square load p_e to apply to the tunnel excavation face. By using the finite layer method of ground, the average horizontal displacement of the excavation face u_0 , and the maximum surface settlement w_{0c} , which can be taken as the theoretical value of instantaneous surface settlement. The distributions of settlement along the tunnel line in different strata groups, are shown in Fig.4.

To characterize the horizontal displacement of tunneling, the flexibility coefficient of tunneling $\delta_u = u_0 / F_e$ is defined as the horizontal displacement of the stratum at the excavation face when the unit effective thrust is applied. Furthermore, in order to discover the relationship between the horizontal displacement of the stratum and the vertical surface settlement, Poisson ratio ν of the soil mechanics parameter is introduced. Based on the definition that ν is the ratio of horizontal strain to vertical strain, it can be presumed that δ_u / ν is proportional to the vertical flexibility coefficient w_{0c} / F_e .




Fig.3 Stratigraphic distribution of tunnel section

Fig.4 The distributions of settlement along the tunnel line in different strata groups

4.2 The Penetration of Influencing Factor

The penetration h of shield tunneling parameter, which is the horizontal tunneling size of the shield when the cutterhead rotates once, is numerically equal to the ratio of tunneling speed to cutterhead rotational speed. There are two sets of force effects on the excavation face acted by the shield cutterhead. The effective thrust of reflecting compression effect, which generates the horizontal pressure stress by the vertical plane of the cutterhead, can cause compressive deformation of the layers at the excavation face. Meanwhile, the cutterhead torque of reflecting rotational effect, which generates the shear stress applied to the excavation layers, can cause shear deformation. The two sets of forces act together on the stratum, whose comprehensive effects should be considered in the analysis of surface settlement.

In the above, the settlement w_{0c} caused by the effective thrust is calculated by using the ground finite layer method only, so the effect of cutterhead torque on the surface settlement can be added by considering the penetration h . The relationship of horizontal tunneling flexibility coefficient δ_u and the penetration h obtained by the effective thrusts of different strata groups is shown in Fig.5. Therefore, a parameter combination h/δ_u is constructed to represent the effect of the cutterhead torsional action on the strata displacement, which refers to the growth multiple of the horizontal tunneling size of the cutter head when it rotates for one circle relative to the horizontal displacement generated under the unit effective thrust. Similarly, h/δ_u is also used for settlement analysis based on superposition principle of force action.

4.3 Measured Value of Instantaneous Surface Settlement in Tunneling

The maximum value of surface settlement near the excavation face at the instant of tunneling is defined as the measured value of instantaneous surface settlement w_{0r} , which is a result of the combined action of the shield cutterhead in horizontal thrust and torque rotation. Therefore, $(w_{0r}/F_e)/(w_{0c}/F_e) = w_{0r}/w_{0c}$ is proportional to h/δ_u for its representative meaning.

Based on the above analysis, $h, \delta_u, v, w_{0c}, w_{0r}$ followed the formula:

$$\frac{\delta_u}{vh} \propto \frac{w_{0c}}{w_{0r}} \tag{4}$$

By analyzing the 84 records of the data set, the relation curve is obtained in Figure 6, and the empirical formula is obtained by fitting as follows:

$$\frac{w_{0c}}{w_{0r}} = 5.7742 \frac{\delta_u}{vh} - 0.0306 \tag{5}$$

The correlation coefficient R^2 is 0.8363, indicating a strong linear correlation between δ_u/vh and w_{0c}/w_{0r} .




Fig.5 The relation between δ_u and h

Fig.6 The relation curve of δ_u/vh and w_{0c}/w_{0r}

5. Prediction of instantaneous surface settlement

On the basis of 21 records in the test data set by applying the fitting formula (5), in which the theoretical value of surface settlement w_{0c} is obtained based on the tunnel finite layer method and δ_u/vh is substituted, the predicted value of instantaneous surface settlement w_{0p} can be calculated during tunneling as follows:

$$w_{0p} = \frac{w_{0c}}{5.7742 \frac{\delta_u}{vh} - 0.0306} \tag{6}$$

The comparison of the predicted value w_{0p} and the measured value w_{0r} is shown in Fig.7.




Fig.7 The relation curve of δ_u/vh and w_{0r}, w_{0p}

Small δ_u means commonly that the tunnel geological layers are too hard to excavate. Under such circumstances, the original structures of the hard rock and soil layers are damaged by the shearing effect of cutterhead torque, resulting in the measured value of surface settlement greater than the predicted value. As δ_u increases, the softer the layers, the more elasticplastic deformation occurs. In this way, the shearing effect of the cutterhead torque is not significant in the enhancement of surface settlement for the soft layer, which leads to a greater theoretical predicted value by fitting formula than the measured value.

The formula for calculating the standard error of the prediction value is:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n^2}{n}} \tag{7}$$

where ,

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{|w_{0c,i} - w_{0p,i}|}{w_{0c,i}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{8}$$

Finally, the standard error of the predicted value w_{0p} and the measured value w_{0r} is calculated, $\sigma = 16.89\% < 20\%$, which can be considered as meeting the accuracy requirements of engineering projects.

6. Summary

In this paper, the application of the finite layer method is extended to the prediction analysis of instantaneous surface settlement for shield tunnels with composite strata, which is not only feasible but also effective. Its advantages are obvious.

First, it is beneficial to solve the simulation difficulty in the theoretical analysis of tunnel with composite strata. The finite layer method, as a semi-analytical method, has the advantage on analyzing non-homogeneous stratum compared to the traditional analytical methods. And it is able to simulate the layer element boundary more effectively than the finite element method to make the results more reliable.

Second, the theoretical values of the surface settlement of shield tunneling can be calculated on the basis of the elastic solution of the finite layer method of tunnel, with consideration of the torsional effect of the cutterhead with the penetration study and the anisotropy of the rock and soil layers with Poisson ratio. The prediction formula proposed by data fitting can be used to obtain the instantaneous surface settlement to control the safety near the zone of shield tunneling.

Acknowledgements

The work presented in this paper is supported by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2020A1515010560) and the the Special Fund Project (GC300502-28) of Dongguan University of Technology, China.

References

- [1] Peck, R.B. Deep Excavations and Tunneling in Soft Ground. Proceedings of 7th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, the Netherlands, 1969, 225-290.
- [2] Ma, L., Ding, L., Luo, H. Non-linear description of ground settlement over twin tunnels in soil. Tunnelling & Underground Space Technology Incorporating Trenchless Technology Research, 2014, 42:144-151
- [3] Zhou, Z., Ding, H.-H, Miao, L.-W., Gong, C.-J. Predictive model for the surface settlement caused by the excavation of twin tunnels. Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology incorporating Trenchless Technology Research, 2021, 114:1-9
- [4] Zhu, C. H., Li, N.. Estimation method and laws analysis of surface settlement due to tunneling. Rock & Soil Mechanics, 2016, 37(S2):533-542.
- [5] Fang, Y. S., Wu, C. T., Chen, S. F., Liu C.. An estimation of subsurface settlement due to shield tunneling. Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology, 2014, 44:121–129
- [6] Verruijt, A., Booker, J. R. Surface settlements due to deformation of a tunnel in an elastic half plane. Geotechnique, 1996, 46:753–756.
- [7] Loganathan, N., Poulos, H. G. Analytical prediction for tunneling-induced ground movement in clays. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 1998, 124:846–856.
- [8] Wei, G., Xu, R. -Q. Prediction of longitudinal ground deformation due to tunnel construction with shield in soft soil. Chinese Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 2005, 27:1077-1081.
- [9] Fu, J. -Y., Yang, J. -S., et al. Analytical Prediction of Ground Movements due to a Nonuniform Deforming Tunnel. International journal of geomechanics, 2016, 16:4015089.1.
- [10] Deng, H. -S., Fu, H. -L., Yue, S., Huang, Z, Zhao, Y. -Y. Ground loss model for analyzing shield tunneling-induced surface settlement along curve sections. Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology incorporating Trenchless Technology Research, 2022, 119:1-20.
- [11] Sagaseta, C. Surface settlement due to deformation of a tunnel in an elastic half plane. Geotechnique, 1998, 48:709-713.

- [12] Wang, Z., Wong, R., Li, S., et al. Finite element analysis of long-term surface settlement above a shallow tunnel in soft ground. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology incorporating Trenchless Technology Research* 2012, 30:85-92.
- [13] Zhang, W. G., Li, H. R., Wu, C. Z., Li, Y. Q., Liu, Z. Q., Liu, H. L. Soft computing approach for prediction of surface settlement induced by earth pressure balance shield tunneling. *Underground Space*,2021, 6:353-363.
- [14] Cai, H.-B., Hong, R.-B., Xu, L.-X., Wang, C.-B., Rong, C.-X. Frost heave and thawing settlement of the ground after using a freeze-sealing pipe-roof method in the construction of the Gongbei Tunnel. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology incorporating Trenchless Technology Research*,2022, 125: 1-12.
- [15] Masayasu, H. A proposed methodology for analysis of ground settlements caused by tunneling, with particular reference to the “buoyancy” effect. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*,2011, 26:130-138.
- [16] Shi, J. S., Ortigao, J.A.R., Bai, J. L. Modular neural networks for predicting settlements during tunneling. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*,1998, 124:389-395.
- [17] Santos, O.J., Celestino, T.B. Artificial neural networks analysis of Sao Paulo subway tunnel settlement data. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*,2008, 23:481-491.
- [18] Suwansawat, S., Einstein, H. H. Artificial neural networks for predicting the maximum surface settlement caused by EPB shield tunneling. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology incorporating Trenchless Technology Research*,2006, 21:133-150.
- [19] Qiao, J., Liu, J., Wei, G., et al. Artificial Neural Network to Predict the Surface Maximum Settlement by Shield Tunneling. *International Conference on Intelligent Robotics & Applications*. 2020, Springer-Verlag.
- [20] Neaupane, K.M., Adhikari, N.R.. Prediction of tunneling-induced ground movement with the multi-layer perceptron. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*,2006, 21:151-159.
- [21] Khatami, S. A., Mirhabibi, A., Khosravi, A., et al. Artificial Neural Network Analysis of Twin Tunnelling-Induced Ground Settlements. *IEEE International Conference on Systems*,2013.
- [22] Ahangari, K., Moeinossadat, S. R., Behnia, D. Estimation of tunnelling-induced settlement by modern intelligent methods. *Soils and Foundations*2015, 55:737-748.
- [23] Feng, L.-Y., Zhang, L.-M. Enhanced prediction intervals of tunnel-induced settlement using the genetic algorithm and neural network. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*,2011, 223:1-15
- [24] Mohammad Reza Moghaddasi, Majid Noorian-Bidgol. ICA-ANN, ANN and multiple regression models for prediction of surface settlement caused by tunneling. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*,2018, 79:197-209.
- [25] Chen, R.-P., Zhang, P., Kang, X., et al. Prediction of maximum surface settlement caused by earth pressure balance (EPB) shield tunneling with ANN methods. *Soils and Foundations*,2019, 59:284-295.
- [26] Ye, X.-W., Jin, T., Chen, Y.-M. Machine learning-based forecasting of soil settlement induced by shield tunneling construction. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*,2022, 124:1-12.
- [27] Fan, W., Gou, B., Qin, Y. Modeling tunneling-induced ground surface settlement development using a wavelet smooth relevance vector machine. *Computers & Geotechnics*,2013, 54:125-132.
- [28] Ling, X., Kong, X., Tang, L., Zhao, Y., Tang, W., Zhang, Y. Predicting earth pressure balance (EPB) shield tunneling-induced ground settlement in compound strata using random forest. *Transportation Geotechnics*,2022, 35: 1-13
- [29] Dindarloo, S. R., Siami-Irdemoosa, E. Maximum surface settlement based classification of shallow tunnels in soft ground. *Tunnelling & Underground Space Technology Incorporating Trenchless Technology Research* ,2015, 49:320-327.
- [30] Zhang, P., Chen, R.P., Wu, H.N., et al. Ground settlement induced by tunneling crossing interface of water-bearing mixed ground: A lesson from Changsha, China. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*,2020, 96:1-12.

- [31] Tian, G. F., Tang, L. S., Ma, H. W., Wu, Q. X. The Composite Ground Finite Layer Method and its Application to Pile Foundation Analysis. *Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures*, 2016, 13:2393-2413.
- [32] Tian, G. F., Tang, L. S. Extended Finite Layer Method for Semi-space Ground Analysis. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 2017, 35:781-797.