Does green finance have spatial spillover effects on carbon efficiency in China?

Taiyi Shu^{1, a}, Tiantian Zhao^{1, b}, Ziwei Zhang^{1, c}, Yan Li^{1, d},* and Qize Li^{1, e}

¹ Pan-Asia Business School, Yunnan Normal University, Kunming, Yunnan, China 650092;

^a shutaiyi1222@163.com, ^b zt2205231903@163.com , ^c z15398567570@163.com,

^d 1060676974@qq.com , ^e lqz9710@126.com

Abstract. To meet the carbon peaking target, it is critical to understand the spatial impact of green finance. The entropy weight approach is used to create a green finance index. The SBM-GML model was used to calculate carbon efficiency. With SDM model, we analyze how green finance affects carbon efficiency. Transmission mechanism was investigated using threshold and mediation models. In terms of carbon emission efficiency, green finance has a spatial spillover effect, and green finance development can effectively enhance carbon emission efficiency improvement. The effect of green finance on carbon emission efficiency can be enhanced when PGDP and ER exceed a certain level. With a 7.6% effect size, ER played a partial mediation role.

Keywords: Green Finance;Carbon Efficiency;SBM-GML;Mediation model;Threshold model;SDM.

1. Introduction

After reform and opening up, China has quickly become the world's second-largest economy. An energy resource conflict and human activity cause rapid economic growth. A greenhouse effect, which is caused by excessive carbon emissions, will affect man's ecological balance with nature. While China struggles to expand its economy and protect the environment, it continues to follow the "Double Carbon" path. China's top ten economies that support green finance demonstrate that green finance can reduce carbon dioxide emissions and produce high-quality economic growth(Saeed Meo and Karim, 2022)[1]. Few studies have integrated factors influencing green finance and carbon emission effectiveness into one framework, and most literatures ignore space spillovers and externalities as well. There needs to be a paper that examines how green finance and carbon efficiency are spatially related.

2. Literature Review

Reducing carbon dioxide emissions appears to have developed into a significant contribution from various nations to ecological environmental protection under the constraints of the "Kyoto Protocol," the "United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change," and the holding of the climate summit(Oh and Chua, 2010)[2]. According to Al Mamun et al. (2022)[3], established financial markets and highly inventive economies have a better success rate for green finance.

Specifically, green financing, as a key intermediary mechanism that influences green growth and carbon emission, is crucial for lowering emissions, according to Zhao et al. (2022)[4]. The effects of reducing carbon emission and encouraging economic transformation are substantial. According to Feng et al. (2022)[5], green finance can substantially reduce carbon emissions regardless of the location of the institution. The SBM model was employed by Zhang et al. (2022b)[6] to calculate carbon efficiency.

In conclusion, we employ a spatial econometric model. The threshold effect model is added, and environmental regulation is used as the mediating variable. Besides.By using a super-efficient SBM (Slack Based Model) model with undesired outputs, we calculated the carbon emission efficiency of 30 Chinese provinces. In order to analyze the dynamic characteristics of carbon emission efficiency, the GML (Global Malmquist-Luenberger) index is used with directional distance functions.(Zhang Advances in Economics and Management ResearchISESDT 2023ISSN:2790-1661Volume-6-(2023)et al., 2022b)[6].Therefore, we can measure carbon efficiency more scientifically and accurately
with this technology.

3. Research Design

The degree of green financing development, together with other variables in the current region and other provinces, affects the level of CE development in a given area. Therefore, the spatial Durbin model is built in this article using the Geographic Weight Matrix.

 $CE_{it} = a_0 + a_1W * CE_{jt} + a_2GF_{it} + a_3W * GF_{it} + \theta_1 \text{controls}_{it} + \theta_2W * \text{controls}_{it} + pro_{it} + time_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$ (1)

In equation (1), W stands for the geographic weight matrix. The control variables are represented by controls. Pro and time stand for the fixed impacts of time and place. denotes the phrase for disruption. to determine whether PGDP or ER restricts the GF's boosting influence on CE. The panel threshold effect model developed in this paper is based on PGDP or ER.

$$CE_{it} = a + \beta_1 GF_{it} + \theta_1 GF_{it} * I(M_{it} \le q_1) + \theta_2 GF_{it} * I(q_1 < M_{it} \le q_2) + \theta_3 GF_{it} * I(q_2 \le M_{it}) + \beta_2 controls_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(2)

In equation (2), M is the threshold variable. q is the threshold value. We use the entropy approach to determine the Green Finance Indicator (GF) for 30 Chinese provinces from 2011 to 2020 (excluding Tibet, Hong Kong, Macao, and Taiwan). Table 1 displays the green finance indicator system.

| Primary Index | Formula | Direction | Weights |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Green Credit | Industrial interest expenses/total industrial interest expenses of six energy-intensive industries | - | 0.2398 |
| Green Investment | restment Investment in environmental pollution/GDP | | 0.2535 |
| Green Insurance Value is output by agricultural insurance income/gross agricultural | | + | 0.2733 |
| Carbon Finance Carbon dioxide emissions/GDP | | - | 0.2334 |

Table 1. Green Finance Indicator System

Energy use and undesirable output are incorporated into the accounting framework via the CE (Carbon Efficiency). The undesirable and extremely effective SBM model is used in this paper to calculate CE.Table 2 displays the essential input-output variables.

Table 2. Input-output variable table

| | * * | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|
| Variable category | Variable name | Specific instructions | |
| | Labor input | Year-end employment | |
| Input variable | Capital input | Real capital stock | |
| | Energy input | Total energy consumption | |
| Expected output variable | GDP | GDP at constant prices | |
| Unexpected output variable | Carbon emission | IPCC method | |

The following control variables were chosen:(1)Green Technology Innovation(gti).A green technology innovation index is calculated by summing corporate green patent filings by the logarithm.(2)Industrial Structural Upgrade(Upgrade) is calculated using Equation 3.Ci represents the ratio between the output value and GDP of the i-th industry.(3)Research and Development(RD). RD is expressed as the logarithm of industrial enterprises' RD funds.(4)Per capita GDP(PGDP).The logarithm of the PGDP.(5)Foreign direct investment (FDI). The the logarithm of FDI.

upgrade =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i \times i = c_1 \times 1 + c_2 \times 2 + c_3 \times 3$$
 (3)

| Advances in Economics and Management Research | ISESDT 2023 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ISSN:2790-1661 | Volume-6-(2023) |
| The mediating variable is environmental regulation (ER). The e | entropy weight method was used |

The mediating variable is environmental regulation (ER). The entropy weight method was used to create an environmental regulation index based on industrial wastewater, industrial SO2, and industrial soot emissions.

4. Empirical Analysis

4.1 Spatial Effect Test

In terms of spatial econometrics, neither green finance nor carbon efficiency fail the Moran index test. In all LM tests except LM lag, the significance level is 1%. Next, we should select the SDM model with fixed effects.

| Tuble 5. Selection test of the sputter metrology model. | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Variable | Main | W | Direct Effect | Indirect Effect | Total Effect |
| GF | 0.4900*** | 0.6226*** | 0.5372*** | 0.9744*** | 1.5116*** |
| | (0.0795) | (0.1827) | (0.0795) | (0.2496) | (0.2687) |
| gti | -0.0409*** | 0.0208 | -0.0419*** | 0.0079 | -0.0340 |
| | (0.0135) | (0.0230) | (0.0114) | (0.0280) | (0.0305) |
| Upgrade | 0.3274*** | -0.2321* | 0.3236*** | -0.1890 | 0.1346 |
| | (0.0731) | (0.1336) | (0.0740) | (0.1604) | (0.1618) |
| RD | 0.0878*** | -0.0524* | 0.0880*** | -0.0329 | 0.0551 |
| | (0.0136) | (0.0268) | (0.0122) | (0.0354) | (0.0397) |
| PGDP | -0.0381 | -0.1286** | -0.0525* | -0.1849** | -0.2374** |
| | (0.0234) | (0.0543) | (0.0282) | (0.0763) | (0.0923) |
| Fdi | 0.3193*** | 0.0638 | 0.3319*** | 0.1902** | 0.5221*** |
| | (0.0337) | (0.0696) | (0.0359) | (0.0761) | (0.0848) |
| Rho | 0.2545*** | | | | |
| R2 | 0.8125 | | | | |

Table 3 Selection test of the spatial metrology model

Note: ***, ** and * are significant at 1%, 5%, and 10% confidence levels, respectively. The data in parentheses are standard errors.

The SDM regression with the fixed effect is presented in Table 3. Green finance plays an important role in rural revitalization and development. 0.7001 indicates a significant positive spatial spillover effect between green finance and carbon efficiency. By increasing green finance levels in adjacent provinces, a spatially related region can effectively improve its carbon efficiency. Increased green finance can promote the development of carbon efficiency in this province and neighboring provinces.

4.2 Robustness Test

The spatial adjacency matrix was used to conduct a robustness analysis. There is a clear spatial spillover effect of green finance on carbon efficiency.

4.3 Threshold effect analysis

Result of threshold existence test. The PGDP threshold is double, while the ER threshold is single. Table 4 shows the threshold regression results. There are two threshold values for PGDP: 11.1410 and 11.4428. Therefore, green finance is more effective when GDP exceeds the threshold. Green finance can effectively promote carbon efficiency when the ER threshold exceeds 0.9221.

| Table 4. Threshold regression results | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Variable | Estimated Value | Estimated Value | | |
| GF(PGDP≤11.1410) | 0.1246* | | | |

Advances in Economics and Management Research

| GF(11.1410 <pgdp≤11.4428)< th=""><th>0.2140***</th><th></th></pgdp≤11.4428)<> | 0.2140*** | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| GF(11.4428 <pgdp)< td=""><td>0.4847***</td><td></td></pgdp)<> | 0.4847*** | |
| GF(ER≤0.9221) | | 0.0142 |
| GF(0.9221 <er)< td=""><td></td><td>0.4109***</td></er)<> | | 0.4109*** |
| Controls | Y | Y |
| R2 | 0.7456 | 0.6976 |

ISSN:2790-1661

Note: The same as Table 3.

4.4 Mediating effect analysis

As a mediating variable, we looked at the environmental regulation (ER) effect. A stepwise regression analysis is shown in Table 5. Green finance has a coefficient of 0.2150 on environmental regulation without environmental regulation. Green finance has a coefficient of 0.4443 after accounting for environmental regulations. Carbon efficiency is influenced by environmental regulation by 0.1700. The level of environmental regulation is 0.2150*0.1700/0.4809=7.6%. Increasing the level of environmental regulation improves carbon efficiency.

| Table 5. Wediation model regression | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--|--|
| Variable | CE | ER | CE | | |
| ER | | | 0.1700*** | | |
| | | | (0.0423) | | |
| GF | 0.4809*** | 0.2150* | 0.4443*** | | |
| | (0.0825) | (0.1111) | (0.0809) | | |
| Controls | YES | YES | YES | | |
| R2 | 0.8811 | 0.5138 | 0.8874 | | |
| Sobel | | | 1.74* | | |
| bootstrap | | | 1.93* | | |

Table 5. Mediation model regression

Note: The same as in Table 3.

5. Summary

This paper examine the impact of green finance on carbon efficiency in China from 2011 to 2020 by using panel data. To calculate carbon efficiency, we first use SBM-GML. To examine the spatial spillover relationship between green finance and carbon efficiency, we use the SDM model. Finally, we use a mediation model and a threshold model to investigate the influencing mechanism. We find that green finance significantly improves carbon efficiency, with significant spatial spillovers. As threshold variables, pgdp and ER were examined for their threshold effect. As pgdp and ER increase, the promotion effect of green finance improves. By promoting environmental regulation, green finance can improve carbon efficiency. Regulation of the environment has a partial mediating effect of 7.6%.

Acknowledgement: The paper is supported by the Yunnan Normal University Postgraduate Core Curriculum Construction Project (grant YH2020-C07) and 2022 Graduate Research and Innovation Fund of Yunnan Normal University (General Project ,grant YJSJJ22-B42)

References

- [1] Saeed Meo, M., and Karim, M. Z. A. (2022). The role of green finance in reducing CO2 emissions: An empirical analysis. Borsa Istanbul Review 22, 169–178. doi: 10.1016/j.bir.2021.03.002.
- [2] Oh, T. H., and Chua, S. C. (2010). Energy efficiency and carbon trading potential in Malaysia. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 14, 2095 – 2103. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2010.03.029.

ISSN:2790-1661

- [3] Al Mamun, M., Boubaker, S., and Nguyen, D. K. (2022). Green finance and decarbonization: Evidence from around the world. Finance Research Letters 46, 102807. doi: 10.1016/j.frl.2022.102807.
- [4] Zhao, J., Taghizadeh-Hesary, F., Dong, K., and Dong, X. (2022). How green growth affects carbon emissions in China: the role of green finance. Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja, 1–22. doi: 10.1080/1331677X.2022.2095522.
- [5] Feng, L., Shang, S., An, S., and Yang, W. (2022). The Spatial Heterogeneity Effect of Green Finance Development on Carbon Emissions. Entropy 24, 1042. doi: 10.3390/e24081042.
- [6] Zhang, W., Zhu, Z., Liu, X., and Cheng, J. (2022b). Can green finance improve carbon emission efficiency? Environ Sci Pollut Res 29, 68976–68989. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-20670-8.